BEYOND PAPER-AND-PENCIL TESTS: GOOD ASSESSMENT PRACTICES FOR EFL CLASSES

SANDY T. SOTO / EDER INTRIAGO PALACIOS / JOHNNY VILLAFUERTE HOLGUÍN





Beyond Paper-and-Pencil Tests: Good Assessment Practices for EFL Classes

Sandy T. Soto Eder Intriago Palacios Johnny Villafuerte Holguín Coordinators





Primera edición en inglés, 2018

Este texto ha sido sometido a un proceso de evaluación por pares externos con base en la normativa editorial de la UTMACH

Ediciones UTMACH

Gestión de proyectos editoriales universitarios

209 pag; 22X19cm - (Colección REDES 2017)

Título: Beyond Paper-and-Pencil Tests: Good Assessment Practices for EFL Classes. / Sandy T. Soto / Eder Intriago Palacios / Johnny Villafuerte Holguín (Coordinadores)

ISBN: 978-9942-24-111-5

Publicación digital

Título del libro: Beyond Paper-and-Pencil Tests: Good Assessment Practices for EFL Classes.

ISBN: 978-9942-24-111-5

Comentarios y sugerencias: editorial@utmachala.edu.ec

Diseño de portada: MZ Diseño Editorial

Diagramación: MZ Diseño Editorial

Diseño y comunicación digital: Jorge Maza Córdova, Ms.

© Editorial UTMACH, 2018

© Sandy Soto / Eder Intriago / Johnny Villafuerte, por la coordinación

D.R. © UNIVERSIDAD TÉCNICA DE MACHALA, 2018

Km. 5 1/2 Vía Machala Pasaje

www.utmachala.edu.ec

Machala - Ecuador



César Quezada Abad, Ph.D **Rector** Amarilis Borja Herrera, Ph.D **Vicerrectora Académica** Jhonny Pérez Rodríguez, Ph.D **Vicerrector Administrativo**

COORDINACIÓN EDITORIAL

Tomás Fontaines-Ruiz, Ph.D Director de investigación

Karina Lozano Zambrano, Ing. **Jefe Editor**

Elida Rivero Rodríguez, Ph.D Roberto Aguirre Fernández, Ph.D Eduardo Tusa Jumbo, Msc. Irán Rodríguez Delgado, Ms. Sandy Soto Armijos, M. Sc. Raquel Tinóco Egas, Msc. Gissela León García, Mgs. Sixto Chiliquinga Villacis , Mgs. **Consejo Editorial**

> Jorge Maza Córdova, Ms. Fernanda Tusa Jumbo, Ph.D Karla Ibañez Bustos, Ing. **Comisión de apoyo editorial**

Advertencia: "Se prohíbe la reproducción, el registro o la transmisión parcial o total de esta obra por cualquier sistema de recuperación de información, sea mecánico, fotoquímico, electrónico, magnético, electro-óptico, por fotocopia o cualquier otro, existente o por existir, sin el permiso previo por escrito del titular de los derechos correspondientes".

Contents

Chapter I

Hamilton Quezada; Sandy T. Soto

Chapter II

María Rojas Encalada

Chapter III

Tools for assessing re	eading comp	rehension in	English	as a
foreign language prog	grams			74

Jessenia Matamoros González; Luis Peralta Sari

Chapter IV

Gaining insights on EFL student performance through m	nea-
ningful assessment tools	96

Consuelo Gallardo Changotásig; Carmen Cajamarca Illescas; Isabel Quito Gutiérrez

Chapter V

Authentic	assessment	&	practical	tools	to	reduce	test	anxi
ety								128

Rebecca Bonarek; Paolo Fabre-Merchan; Gabriela Villavicencio Gordon

Chapter VI

The role of ICT in the evaluation a	nd assessment of English
as foreign or as a second language	

José Luis Ramírez-Romero; Migdalia Rodríguez-Rosales; Héctor Salazar-Sorcia

Chapter VII

Literature	circles, Google	e apps	and	corrective	feedback to
assess lang	guage learning				

Eder Intriago Palacios; Johnny Villafuerte Holguín

Dedication

To all the people who made the publication of this book possible.

To those EFL teachers who, day by day, give the best of their own for helping their students construct their knowledge and learn English in meaningful and effective ways.

Introduction

Individuals' learning of a second or foreign language has been traditionally measured with paper-and-pencil tests. Unfortunately, such assessment practice prevents learners from demonstrating the skills gained throughout the teaching-learning processes and thus, their actual ability to use the target language effectively. It also limits learners from receiving positive feedback; which opens doors for them to improve their language skills.

The language teaching field demands that English as Foreign Language (EFL) teachers have a vast knowledge of the fundamental concepts and theories that surround the assessment of EFL learning. It also requires that professionals who teach a foreign language keep up to date with assessment tendencies that go beyond paper-and-pencil tests as is the case of authentic assessments.

Assessment practices that go beyond traditional paperand-pencil tests provide students with opportunities to be assessed in mental stress-free environments. Teachers who promote this alternative form of assessment prompt learners to perform real-world tasks so that they can demonstrate their capability to apply essential knowledge and skills in creative and meaningful ways. In other words, teachers gain insights about how much students have grasped by their actual ability to perform in a specific situation instead of the number right or wrong answers they have made on a test.

This book is composed of seven chapters intended to inform pre-service and in-service EFL teachers about good assessment practices that go beyond the bounds of tests that require learners to read questions and respond in writing. The first chapter of this book provides EFL educators with a menu of authentic assessments that can be implemented in their classrooms. It also builds a synopsis of assessment practices in Ecuadorian EFL classes and the educational policies that have been implemented to improve them.

The second chapter of this book digs into the use of roleplays as an alternative to assess students' oral production. The chapter is built upon the results of two studies on the topic and some research conducted by its author, addressing the causes that affect learners' willingness to speak English. The third chapter focuses on the assessment of one of the receptive skills in language learning, reading. This chapter offers a compilation of resources for effectively assessing reading comprehension in EFL programs; detailing how these resources intertwine with the reality of EFL settings.

The fourth chapter discusses a set of strategies that have been evaluated by the authors of this section through action research. Based on their experience, the authors explain how such strategies can be used as tools to gain insights, develop reflective practice, and improve students' outcomes as well as the teaching environment.

In the fifth chapter, the readers will learn about the importance of determining and how to diminish students' test anxiety. This chapter also addresses practical authentic assessment tools and scenarios that give language learners anxiety-free opportunities to demonstrate their knowledge.

The sixth and seventh chapters have been devoted to the use of technology for assessing language learners authentically. Chapter six analyzes the change from traditional pen and paper tests to those that have incorporated technology. The authors review the evolution of Information and Communication Technologies based evaluation and assessment applications for English as foreign language learning and teaching, as well as their advantages and disadvantages, current developments, and future trends for technology-based assessment practices.

Finally, grounded in an action research intervention, chapter seven examines how the use of Literature Circles, Google Apps, and corrective feedback can help students improve learners' English language level. Each chapter in this book offers EFL teachers with valuable information on good assessment practices. It is expected that the educators who read this work consider the suggestions provided here and implement them in their practice. We are sure that by doing so, these educators will give their students the possibility of being assessed authentically; it is to say, by what they can do instead of by how many items they get right on a test.

07 Uterature circles, Google apps and corrective feedback to assess language learning

Eder Intriago Palacios; Johnny Villafuerte Holguín

Abstract

This action research aims to contribute to the improvement of the evaluation stage of educational projects that use Information and Communication Technologies (ICT). This project involved undergraduate students` English language acquisition process in Ecuador, during 2016-2017. The participants were 120 students of in the teacher education program. They took part of English language practices that combined Literature Circles and Google Apps to improve participants English language level. The results showed an improvement in all the participants' English knowledge levels. It also introduced a creative, more authentic and intrinsically motiva-

Eder Intriago Palacios: Estudiante de PhD en Currículo e Instrucción en la Universidad Estatal de Kansas en Estados Unidos. Graduado del Programa de Maestría en Currículo e Instrucción en ESL. Actualmente trabaja en la carrera de Pedagogía de los Idiomas Nacionales y Extranjeros de Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí.

Johnny Villafuerte Holguín: Becario SENESCYT cursando estudios de PhD en Psicodidáctica en la Universidad del País Vasco. Graduado del programa de Maestría en Psicodidáctica de la Universidad País Vasco de España y del programa de Maestría en Docencia Universitaria e Investigación Educativa de la Universidad Nacional de Loja, Ecuador. Actualmente trabaja la Universidad Laica Eloy Alfaro de Manabí. ting assessment process using ICT, which took into account learners' context and class' goals to generate constructive feedback

Keywords: Assessment, English as foreign Language learning, Higher education, Information and communication technology, reading.

Resumen

Este trabajo de investigación-acción tiene como objetivo contribuir al fortalecimiento de la etapa de evaluación de los proyectos educativos que utilizan las Tecnologías de la Información y la Comunicación. Este trabajo se centra en el proceso de adquisición del idioma inglés de estudiantes universitarios en Ecuador, durante el período 2016-2017. Los participantes son 120 estudiantes del Programa de Enseñanza de Inglés como Lengua Extranjera de una Universidad Ecuatoriana. Participaron en prácticas de idioma inglés que combinan círculos de literatura y aplicaciones de Google para mejorar el nivel de inglés de los participantes. Los resultados muestran una mejora en todos los niveles de conocimiento de inglés de los participantes. Como resultado, también se introduce un proceso de evaluación creativo, más auténtico e intrínsecamente motivador utilizando las TIC, que tiene en cuenta el contexto de los alumnos y los objetivos de la clase para generar una retroalimentación constructiva.

Palabras clave: evaluación, inglés como aprendizaje de lenguas extranjeras, educación superior, tecnología de la información v la comunicación. lectura.

Introduction

Teachers need to find creative ways to make sure that what is being taught is being done in a way that really makes students learn it. Both, analog and digital education apply evaluation processes which have to do with type of content. Skills, however, are sometimes harder to assess than content whose assessment involves remembering or repeating activities.

184

Thus, the present work is motivated out of the necessity of improving assessment procedures on the usage of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) highly required in the education of the century XXI. Such assessment procedures should not only be limited to effectively discovering if a student has learned; it should also reveal how students are learning. In this concern, Google Apps can be very useful because their flexibility to be accessed since a smartphone or tablet (Nevin, 2009).

It is clear that much of the assessment that is done in education today is composed of standardized and multiple-choice tests therefore, teachers are obliged to look for new ways to bring to the surface the information that these standardized tests can't (Brown, 2004). Also, tests and assessments traditionally have been applied to identify and measure the contents students do not know; instead, those instruments should measure the contents students have somewhat mastered (Jabbarifar, 2009).

Thus, following Brown's (2004), Bain (2007), Jabbarifar's (2009) and Najeeb's (2013) lines of thought, this chapter intends to aid teachers in creating more authentic and intrinsically motivating assessment procedures that take into account learners' context and class' goals to generate a constructive feedback, but also keeping in mind learners' personal interests and motivations (Noels, Clement & Pelletier, 1999; Lamb, 2002)

In the same sense, the evaluations must also reflect the needs of students because these are connected with their performance. Teacher need to be careful in not using this information in isolation to make decision in terms of indication of ability or acquisition of knowledge as imprecise (Falout, Elwood & Hood, 2009). Here, the action of evaluation benefits students and teachers in different ways. They will have the certitude that progress is being made and that learning truly is taking place in the classroom (Dörnyei and Ushioda, 2013).

Teachers are invited to reflect upon what they are doing to assess their students and be critical as to the question "does it really work?"; in this sense, an attitude of openness to new ways of assessment should be adopted. Among the many routes that can be taken, this chapter suggests technology as an ally in the creating and application of pieces of assessment that help determining whether a student is effectively learning and informing the teachers what is working really well or determine the changes to be made. The questions to answer in this work are:

Is assessment capable of revealing what students had learned and also, how they did it?

How efficient can be ICT in the assessment process?

Assessment as teaching and learning process

Scholars as Miller (1995); Wilson (1999) and Dixon (2011) view assessment as a crucial point in the teaching/learning process. It is central as this process requires of actions and instruments that provide data about how the learning goals set are being reached by the learners; based on this, new curriculum and assessment decisions are made.

This way of looking at assessing is grounded in reflection and learning from teaching, which promotes innovative ways to teach and a true desire to improve the lives of their students (Stone, 1998; and Withford, Ruscoe and Fickel, 2000) present some of the aspects that they discovered as deficiencies revealed through portfolios: Home and family conflicts, Decreased levels of self-esteem, Family isolation, Frequent and disruptive moves from one place to another, Reduced exposure to language (especially academic language).

Teachers and students are actively involved in a process that requires the application of permanent evaluation (Barootchi and Keshavarz, 2002). They combine efforts that produce information and a dynamic that yields results. However, "the anticipation of a test is almost always accompanied by feelings of anxiety and self-doubt-along with a fervent hope that you will come out of it alive" (Brown, 2004, p.1).

Since educational institutions should seek that students incorporate the knowledge, skills and values gained in the learning experiences so that it has a meaningful use in their lives, teachers are challenged to think of ways to create tea186

ching and learning scenarios, resources, content variation and activities that resemble reality (Miller, 1995; Fox, 2008). They are called alternative assessment or nontraditional assessment to separate from the classic, standardized multiple choice tests (Chung, 2008; Rodrigues, 2010). Some examples are observation checklists, portfolios, individual and group presentations, videos, game-based and performance-based assessments can be mentioned as examples of alternative assessment.

However, teachers "develop, administer and analyze the questions, they are more likely to apply the results of the assessment to their own teaching. Therefore, it provides feedback on the effectiveness of instruction and gives students a measure of their progress" (Jabbarifar, 2009, p8). Then, they center on the learning goals, understood as declarations of "observable" knowledge or abilities at the end of period (Dixon, 2011). In this sense, assessment affects grades, placement, and progress as well as curriculum. These ways of assessment make it possible for students to demonstrate their talents, interests and potential involvement with their true dream (Astika, 2014).

Assessment also reveals information that escapes the limits of the classroom, such as students' health care and intrapersonal relations at home. Kids that do poor in class, have limited socialization or problems paying attention in class may be an indication that their families do not have access to healthcare services or that their parents may be going through economic or emotional hardships (Herrera, Cabral and Murry, 2013).

When teachers understand that their performance is enriched by looking beyond the performance level, reflecting on the possible causes that, in the first place, lead students to perform at such or such level. In addition, formative assessment can occur "naturally and most often implicitly" (Dyer, 2015, pl.) because learners move from practice to final performance, but during the process (writing drafted and revised) learning occurs. It is also the result of students' self-motivation and autonomous learning (Cevallos, Intriago, Villafuerte, Molina and Ortega, 2017).

Extensive Reading through Literature Circle

Learning a foreign language requires that the students that take the challenge have opportunities to use the language (Krashen, 1981; Oxford, 1989; Intriago, Villafuerte, Morales, Lema, Echeverria. 2016).

The type of reading that is involved in the Literature Circles is known as Extensive Reading. This is not something new; Elley and Mangubhai (1983) conducted reviews that approach reading since the 80s. This type of reading, which consists in reading greats amount for pleasure, has served to meet the individual needs of some learners, and helped in the acquisition processes of a foreign language, especially in the area of activating high frequency vocabulary.

To Collie and Slater (1987) this type of reading stimulates the mind of the readers and causes them to enter in mental dialoaues with the text, which promotes the creative development of the readers. Davis (1995) proposed extensive reading of graded books, also known as readers. These books are modified in such a way that learners can understand the content in them. Such modification allows students to engage with the text in ways as similar as they would engage in reading of the same type in their native language.

Harmer (2001) commented that learners need to get exposure to the language repeatedly as this is a key condition for learning it. In countries where English has a status as a second language, learners find opportunities to be exposed to the language in natural and abundant ways.

Conventional Literature Circles are known as discussion groups organized to promote in learners` reading habit as a collaborative act (Obregon, 2006). In the Literature Circle participants assume roles (artist, connector of bridges, diction detective, leader of the discussion and reporter) stimulating their participation, exchanging ideas and understanding (Sanchez and Contreras (2012).

Other benefits of this practice can be: Participants learn to discuss about literature, they speak about the stories that have been read as a group, participants can link literature to their 188

personal experiences, they achieve a deep understanding of the text, learn to give opinions and respect opinions of others, learning from the different points of view, they link literature with other areas of knowledge such as writing, spelling, style and rhetoric, to know more about the world and contexts of English speaking countries.

Thus, Hames (2012), uses the term peer review to refer to a scrutiny and critical assessment by experts can use to increase emphasis on openness and transparency when dealing with the evaluation of the text. To Najeeb (2013) "Learners need to be able to be aware of and understand their own learning styles and to use these to their advantage" (p. 1242).

Mutwarasibo (2013) made innovative contributions about the importance of collaborative work in writing practices, preparing students for the job market. Regarding Literature Circle, Nguyen (2013); and Aydin and Yildiz (2014) have conducted studies about innovations for collaborative project writing because, It is essential to have students work collaboratively promoting they learn from each other. Typically, literature reading is recommended to readers whose language proficiency can easily dispense the use of a dictionary (Centro Virtual Cervantes, 2017).

On the other hand, in countries like Ecuador, students don't have as many opportunities to use the language for communication (Villafuerte, Carreno, Demera, 2015). However, extensive reading has as its main purpose to read texts completely giving priority to the message over the form. The point is to have a general idea about what is being read.

Literature Circles is a learning strategy that provides students opportunity to get in contact with the language (Intriago, et al, 2016). Literature Circle allows students to produce language when they assume diverse roles (artistic, police of the courtesy, researcher, etc.) before the text. (Villafuerte, Intriago and Romero, 2017). Finally, it is necessary to remember that reading and writing are perhaps the most common communicative ways used by university students. Here, the quality, clearance, and exactitude of a document is highly relevant (Rojas, Villafuerte, Soto, 2017) and it is necessary to work on its improvement.

Google applications as language practice tools

When the technology is used appropriately can be excellent accompaniers for both summative and formative assessment in the foreign language acquisition process (Nevin, 2009).

Thomas (2011) argued that Apps on the cloud computing had reached a significant usage level, especially in higher education because they allow teacher and learners to work on a same document at the same time under an active collaborative dynamic. So, Cloud tools can enhance engagement among teachers, students and researchers.

Zhuang (2010) argued there are, dozens of Apps that in the form of games allows teachers to very quickly get a general view of the learning in the class. In additions, Google Apps offer to learners and teachers communicational tools that can be used as collaborative scenarios to introduce, practice and assess any language topic (Railean, 2012).

To Ferres and Piscitelli (2012) the webs 1.0 and 2.0 have facilitated users' interactions and collaborations tools on internet; however, Asterhan and Hever (2015) argue that, teachers and students need help to develop educational projects using the social network sites (SNS). Thus, the experience of Villafuerte, Carreno and Demera (2015) in the Ecuadorian context ratifies that an educative project can promote the learners' responsible participation in open social networks sites as Facebook, surpassing the stage of marking -I like- and taking learners to a process of knowledge production through the exchange of opinions on the usage of a foreign language.

In the same sense, Jones (2015) used Twitter to innovate a University literature class in United States. So, "30 undergraduate students soon embraced Twitter as a collaboration tool to improve learners' attitudes toward readiness for class discussions" (Jones, 2015, p. 91).

Scholars as Cabero (2015); Villafuerte and Romero (2017), etc. argued that Information and Communication Technologies and Internet offer multiple opportunities to bring to the classroom the culture of English speaking community through the

use of authentic reading and listening materials produced around the world. Those materials can be adjusted to the learners' language level. For beginning students, the modifications may include adding images and pre-reading vocabulary activities before starting the first chapter. ICT can help learners to improve the contact with the target language. In this sense, "Websites and resources that involve interaction (chat-rooms, wikis, blogs) on internet should be encouraged and made clear to the learners as complementary" tools for improving their process of learning (Cevallos, et al., 2017). Finally, assessment activities can also be adapted to ICT tools. They had showed to be an educational partner with the power to stimulate the participation and overcome the barriers as physical distance (Cabero and Ruíz, 2018).

Test and Assessment types

Karen Hume (2008) in her book covers four purposes of pre-assessment. She argued that pre-assessment helps teachers to determine which content, skills, and strategies are needed by the students to meet the expected goals, clears up any misconceptions or partial understanding that students start with, tells teacher how to group students so they can learn well, tells teacher which types of activities will best support various learners.

Test

Tests are a source of anxiety and as such may be responsible for underperformance (Krashen, 1981). However, Tests exist because the following reasons: to understand whether a student is ready to go to next level, to know about problematic areas, to figure out what the students have learnt, and to compare the students (Harris and McCann, 1994). Among the types of tests that exist are: pretests, class discussions, questionnaires, student interviews, creative student work, K-W-L charts and others

In the tests presented in the form of multiple choice or true or false questions, the distress can be minimized and offer an opportunity for objectively assess students' knowledge (Harris & McCann, 1994). Tests, however, fail in presenting themselves as a friendly way for students to demonstrate what they have learned.: and Brown. 2004).

Formal and Informal Assessment

According to Brown (2004), formal assessment is like tournaments, where competitors openly demonstrate they are the best (or the worst) at what they've prepared for a period of time. Brown also makes it clear that tests are always formal but formal assessments are not exclusively presented in the form of tests. In this sense, Jabbarifar (2009) agued when a teacher observes, with the help of a rubric, oral performance on Monday's "what I did over the weekend" assignment, she is formally assessing students.

According to Brown's definition (2004) informal assessment involves unplanned actions and activities that among other forms include comments and short mini-lessons. The type of results could go from "well done to you need to check the use of phrasal verbs using get + particle" These instances are not done in advanced, or involve on the part of the teacher, preparing any materials. Typically, the information that is obtained from this assessment piece is not used to make a final decision, but instead the teacher uses this info to reinforce the final decisions that will be reflected in the report.

Implicit and Explicit Assessment

Bachman and Palmer (2010) sustain that teachers' role as evidence-of-performance collectors, enter in a series of interactions with the students that are evident and on purpose; others can barely be recognized as actions that seek to test or evaluate. Table 1 presented below summarizes the characteristics and purposes of implicit and explicit assessment.

Type of Assess- ment	Characteristics	Purposes
Explicit	Explicit Clear: Expressed:	Decision made on summative performance Decision made on formative assessment Teachers focuses more on specific areas of content Student spends more time on one specific linguistic area
Implicit	Continuous Instantaneous Cyclical Unexpressed	It is concerned with formative actions The teacher or students may not be aware of it taking place

Table 1. Differences between implicit and explicit assessment

Source: adapted from Bachman and Palmer (2010)

Departing from this differentiation, assessment can also be referred in terms of being systematically organized and designed to obtain information about how students are learning (Bachman and Palmer, 2010). These pieces of assessment are contained in the syllabus that teachers deliver at the beginning of a semester or program.

Formative and Summative Assessment

Lewy (1990) posited that formative assessment is anything that takes during instruction in an ongoing way, between teachers and students that aims at monitoring learning and teaching in the form of adequate feedback. There are two purposes for formative assessment according to Nitko (1995), in the first place it seeks to modify learning procedures and in the second, fixing problems that take place during instruction that were not detected at the beginning.

Because formative assessment has become more and more popular, teachers are being made aware of its benefits and potentials. Black & Wiliam (1998) referred to it as "a moment of learning" (p.11).

Gattullo (2000) says that apart from providing opportunity for immediate action, it also looks to perfect the teaching learning process and produce better outcomes. From this point of view, it can be said that the majority of the assessment actions that take in the classroom is formative.

Summative assessment, as its name suggests, summarizes what the students have learnt during a course and it is usua-Ily done at the end of a period of time, typically a semester (Brown, 2004). It is a way of verifying that the objectives set at the beginning of the program have been reached. Examples of a summative exam are midterms and final exams. Even if the teacher has designed a piece of assessment to accompany learning, this is said to be summative if it lacks feedback and seeks instead allocate a score to students. Alderson (2005) associated summative assessment with long traditional tests which were so stressful to students

In addition. Zhuang (2010) argued that an autonomous learner may need to set learning goals, language content and pace, a learning process, find a suitable learning methodology and assessing learning achievements.

It is indispensable that students receive feedback to analyze and reflect on the positive and negative comments made by their teachers. When this happens, the use that students make of language is reinforced or corrected, and so more progress is made (Najeeb, 2013, p. 1240). However, even teachers and learners are able to assume the opportunities to practice a language using ICT, they need a time to understand all the cultural educational settings that represent to use authentic material (Padilha, 2013).

Authentic Assessment

Many times, our students fail to show what they know through a given assessment, whether this is formal or informal: summative or formative. This by no means must be taken as final. There may be hidden reason why this student wasn't able to show that she learnt the content. It is also a fact of learners' personality as confidence or values as responsibility (Dang. 2010). One possible reason may be the type of assessment used. Although there may be other explanations, this section will be about the type of assessment and its capacity to reveal students' knowledge.

The idea behind authentic assessment is that students apply the knowledge, skills and values learned in their real life. This requires performance that integrates several skills and knowledge in the solution of a problems or completing a task including their abilities for learning autonomously (Sanprasert, 2010). It focuses on students' analytical skills; ability to integrate what they learn; creativity; ability to work collaboratively; and written and oral expression skills. It values the learning process as much as the finished product (Rojas, Villafuerte and Soto, 2017).

Among the previous studies revised on this Project, it is quoted the work of Lamb (2002) who determined how the attributes: personal investment in learning English, willingness and ability to study the language autonomously can influence on the process of EFL acquisition. Those attributes move people to exploit as much as possible the language practice opportunities they find in their location. He also determined that longitudinal ethnographic studies using a limited number of individual allow to determine the way how these personal qualities interact with features of the environment. Meanwhile, "large-scale quantitative research can be used to distinguish successful and unsuccessful learners in concern to learners' aptitudes, gender, and socioeconomic status" (Lamb, 2002, p.50).

In other hand, Najeeb (2013) insisted about the students' necessity of feedback to stimulate their process of analyze and working on their weak points marked by teachers during the corrective process. He ratifies that students' feedback make of the language process an improvement act. However, it is necessary to promote the construction of comfortable learning environment "where the learners feel encouraged, they are more likely to experiment with different learning strategies and not be afraid to ask questions and to ask for assistance when necessary" (p.1240). In addition, ICT can support

teacher to involve learners' direct, and interactive contact with the target language (Fernandez & Torres, 2015).

In the Ecuadorian context, the formative and summative assessment experience of scholars Farfan, Villafuerte, Romero and Intriago (2017), which consisted in the production of digital videos as English class learning project followed by a self-evaluation, reflection and self-correction activities showed, how it is possible to generate assessment procedures and promote in students a creative and memorable positive experience based on the feedback procedure.

Methodology

The methodology applied in this work is action research. It consists in the design of language practices that mixed Literature Reading Circles and Google Applications to implement a process of extensive reading supported with feedback inputs. The educational goal is to improve the participants English language level.

Sample

The sample is composed of 120 students of the Program of English Language at a Public University in Ecuador. It is a heterogeneous sample with 30% male and 70% female; age range 22-40 years old. The criteria of participation were: to be a student officially registered and to attend to the language practices implemented during the execution of the project.

Ethics norms and procedures

Following the ethics norms internationally applied, every participant signed the letter of consent informed down. They had 7 weeks to change their decision of participation. It is warranty the state of anonymous of every participant's identity. The documentation generated in this research will be kept under confidence status for seven years. The results and data generated in this research will be used only for the effect of educational purposes.

Literature Circles organization

Literature Circles are sessions organized in groups from four to six participants. The participating students are assigned some roles that they will use for both reading and sharing ideas and details from the books. Typically, students meet once a week to present and discuss their selections from the reading done during the week. The types of books that work best with Literature Circles are short novels or tales tell a story, as human beings are known for enjoying content from the stories.

The participants' roles

The literature circles expose learners to multiple roles expecting they gain confidence as they have the sense of achievement.

Shelton-Strong (2012) suggest among the most popular roles, the following:

- Discussion's Leader. The student creates a list of questions that the other students should discuss about the section of the book assigned. The students use their level of English to create questions that generate interpretations of universal nature. He encourages the other students to keep a balance flow of communication.
- Detective of diction. He or she careful revises what type of words are used. They locate phrases and passages that are descriptive, powerful, funny, surprising or confusing. The students explain why they selected those words and why the author decided to use them.
- Bridge builder This role allows the participant to create meaningful connections between the students, places, events, the community and their own life.
- Reporter: The idea here is to present the essential points of the pages or chapters read. The student makes a brief summary describing the setting, the plot, the characters.

• Artist: the artist creates an illustration that is related to a passage, character, event, etc., that the student finds relevant and meaningful. The student is expected to present and explain what the graphic representation means and encourage other to make comments and ask questions.

English levels	Book Title and Author	Publishing Company	Notes
Level 1	The Last Photo by Bernard Smith April in Moscow by Stephen Rabley Carnival by Annette Keen Girl Meets Boy by Derek Strange	Longman	All Literature Cir- ces began with Pilot round that permitted lear-
Level 2	King Arthur and the Knights of the Round Table by Deborah Tempest Moby Dick by Herman Melville The Room in the Tower and Other Ghost Stories by Rudyard Kipling Lost Love and Other Stories by Jan Carew	Longman	ners to get accus- tomed to the sequence, and were asked to formulate ques- tions and clarify doubts
Level 3, 4 and 6	American Crime Stories by John Scott The Picture of Dorian Grey by Oscar Wilde The Scarlet Letter by Nathaniel Haw- thorne	Longman	

List of Books Selected

Process of Continuous Feedback

The purpose of the intervention was to continually improve students' level of English from the two types of feedback. The student would first receive input via the graded readers to later on use the contents of the stories to produce new language by interacting in the socialization part of the Literature Circles. With this sample, both teachers and students completed their specific assessments which would give students the opportunity to pay attention to what they needed to improve or correct in the next circles. Students were constantly reminded of the importance of completing the rubrics. 198 Eder Intriago Palacios; Johnny Villafuerte Holguín





Figure 2. Empty form for students to share the contents of their readings based on some roles assigned.

Online form for peer-reviewing done by the Teacher

The first document to fulfill this purpose was a form that would permit the instructor to make comments on the way students produced language. To do this in a way that was manageable for the teachers, an instrument containing categories of linguistic and communicative performance was created. A spoken interaction performance instrument (see figure 3) was created for the teachers to assess students' linguistic and communicative performance. The document assessed categories that could be observed from the contributions made on the Literature Circle form. The categories used were

- master of vocabulary,
- grammatical accuracy,
- \cdot spelling and
- pragmatic knowledge.

Each component of the form establishes a description of differing levels of performance. For example, the component Grammatical Accuracy presents five levels that go from 1 to 5. Number 1 describes performance as "she or he manifests a limited control over a few simple grammatical and syntactic structures from a repertoire of language learned"

5 ~ 7	100% -	\$	% .0 __ .0	<u>0</u> 123 -	Arial	*	10	•	В	I	÷	<u>A</u> -	À. -	⊞ -		
В		D				E			F							
					ACCUR	ACY										
1			2		3				4				5	5		
Shows only limit control of a few sin grammatical an syntactical structu within a learned repertoire.	ed stru spple com d f res co l con ter yo	actures of still system initing for example forget r sistency adds to be out are tr	me simple correctly, be ematically basic error ple, often tenses and maintain r; however, e clear wha ying to say.	"forr stru reas g i t g r it with of th mak clea	nulas" an ctures rela- more prec- situation communice conable co- simple situ- enerally h nmatical (a an evider e mother es mistak r what you to expr	ns. It tes with prrection in lations; as good control, but tongue. He es, but it is u are trying ess.	Goo cont make no sys minor struc and ca retros relativ gramm mista mist	Good grammatical control; You can still make occasional "slips" no systematic errors and minor flaws in sentence structure, but are rare and can often correct in retrospect. Manifests a relatively high degree of grammatical control. No mistakes that produce misunderstandings.					It maintains a high degree of grammatical correctness consistently; errors are rare and hardly noticeable			
errores mientras hat someone, say to sor does he look like? V it?; Ivan, you took ti help someone. VIDE someone CORREC maybe I rent a apart	errores mientras habla y corrige inmediatamente. Int someone, say to someone. Poner atención a: You g does he look like? VIDEO 6: sugiero corregir lo sigui t?; Ivan, you took the map? por Ivan, Would you ta help someone. VIDEO 7: Se sugiere cambiar lo sigu someone CORRECTO. Help to someone, INCORRE maybe I rent a apartment very big to put photos. Sug or maybe, I would rent a big apartment.					rtados: Aco hould be: y the opport d you Jhon don't have ATENCION	ording to; ou get in tunity to c ? por wh sense by N A: If I	my responses open tab tab were	sed a the b out y story Mar	n for s and th box, yo rou Jh does tin, I t	ou op non?; not i ry to	tory is impressive. How open? por Would you open ?; Help to someone por make any sense. y Help o pay a course of photo, or				

Source: Class Literature Circles 2016(1)

The table above presented teachers with the opportunity to act responsibly and technically so their judgments were objective, arguable and fair. This led the group of participating teachers to have sessions where many questions were asked. Teachers presented what they would grade a student and presented it for discussion. This exercise left the teachers better prepared (and feeling less guilty) for applying the rubric.

Online Form for Developing Learning Strategies and Self-regulation

Apart from the teacher engaging in processes of reviewing the students' interactions to orient them towards adjustments to improve performance, the learners got involved in a process of analyzing their own interventions. For this, they used a form that moved them to consider what strategies (if any) they used during the sessions and how this improved their participation or could improve a future participation. The form was designed from Rebecca Oxford's (1989) Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL).

This inventory divides them into direct and indirect ones. Memory, cognitive and compensation strategies are in the same group. The ones related to memory help students store and retrieve information. Cognitive ones allow students direct involvement with the material used for learning.

Finally, those dealing with compensation are useful when despite of the limited knowledge the student has, he or she can work a way around in understanding or producing language. Fig. 4 displays the description for each the categories described above.

•	5	•	2	٣	10	0% -	S	%	_0.	.00	123 -	Arial	*	10	*	В	Ι.	5 4	۹ <i>-</i>	è	• •	⊞	• 111 •	≣・⊥	- ÷ - ₱-	⇔ + [
	В	(с								C							E	F	G	н	1			J	
Ī	NO										ITEN	0						1	2	3	4	5		CO	MMENTARY	
										Mem	ory stra	tegies														
	1	1 L	used	new	word	s in ph	rases	or se	nten	ces c	omoejer	cicio buil	to remen	ber.						x						
	2		I tried to remember a new word by making a mental picture of a situation where I can use that word										nat				x									
	3	1 u	used	l rhyn	nes to	remer	nber	new w	ords	of th	e readin	gs.								x						
									C	ogni	tive str	ategies														
	4	l r	repe	ated	the ne	w wor	ds se	veral 1	times										x							
	5	۱p	prac	ticed	Englis	sh sou	nds												x							
	6	1 u	usec	the the	words	I know	in di	fferen	t way	S										x						
	7	1 5	start	ed co	nvers	ations	in En	glish t	this w	veek											x					
	8	l t	tried	not t	o tran	slate w	ord fo	or wor	d.												x					
	9	1 r	read	in Er	nglish	for ple	asure	this v	veek																	
	10	1	wrote notes, messages on Whatsapp, Messenger, letters or inforemes in English													x		x								
	11	S	umn	naries	ofw	nat I re	ad ar	nd hea	ar in E	Inglis	h				100						x					
									Cor	npen	sation	Strategie	5													
	12	W	/hen	I did	not k	now ho	w to	say a	word	in Er	nglish, tl	ne said in	Spanish.								x					
Т	13	1 t	tried	to gu	ess w	hat the	e othe	er pers	son w	vill sa	/									x						

Figure 4. Form containing the strategies to be used and developed by students

Source: Class Literature Circles 2016(1)

Lives Cana

The indirect strategies (fig. 5) in turn are divided into metacognitive, affective and social. Metacognitive strategies are related to thinking about learning as it takes place via planning and execution, as well as monitoring and evaluating. Affective strategies make students aware of controlling and taking advantage of emotions to deal with communication tasks. And social strategies refer to those deliberate actions done by the students to interact successfully with other people. We think this kind of tools are examples teachers can follow to create assessment procedures less degrading, artificial, anxiety-provoking (Brown, 2004).

P	5	~ P	100% -	\$	%	.000	123 -	Arial	*	10	*	Β.	I ÷	<u>s /</u>	4 -	è	4 v	⊞	- 23 -	≡ -	<u>+</u> -	$\left \frac{1}{1}\right \rightarrow$	P/ -	GÐ	+ 1
	В	С					D							E	F	G	н	Т				J			
Τ						Metacog	nitive s	trategies																	
	20	I paid attent	tion to how r	ny cla	ssmate	es spoke	English.									x									
	21	I'm thinking	and asking	others	s how t	o be a be	tter lear	ner of En	glish								×								
Γ	22	I planned m	y schedule	to hav	e enou	igh time t	o study	and perfo	rm well								x								
Г	23	I have clear	goals to im	prove	my En	glish skill	s.	_									x								
	24	I know exac	tly how to ir	nprove	e my k	nowledge	and ski	lls in Eng	lish						x										
	25	I tried readi	ng in Englis	h as m	uch as	s possible										x									
	26	I tried to find	d as many w	vays a	s poss	ible to us	e and pr	actice En	glish.								x								
	27	I reflected o	n my progre	ess in l	learnin	g English									x										
	28	I noticed my	/ mistakes a	ind us	e that i	nformatio	n to hel	p improve	u .									x							
	29	l understan	d that part o	f learn	ing En	glish invo	lves ma	king mist	akes								x								
						Affect	ve stra	tegies																	
	30	I tried to rel	ax when I w	as talk	king ter	ns @ Eng	lish.								x										
	_	I ventured t		-			afraid to	make m	stakes.								x								
	32	I reward my	self when I	did mi	sm @	good.										x									
	33	I noticed wh	nen I started	study	ing @	nerves o	use En	glish.									x								
	_	I wrote dow	, ,			0 0											x								
	35	I talked to s	omeone abo	out ho	w I fee	I to learn	English.											x							
							al strate	gies																	
	-	I asked othe															x								
	_	I have pract															x								
	_	I have aske															x								
	_	I have been	•														x								
	40	When I did	not understa	and so	methin	ng, I aske	d to spe	ak more s	lowly or t	o repea	at.						x								

Figure 5. Form containing the strategies to be used and developed by students

Source: Class Literature Circles 2016(1)

Findings and Discussion

Effective classroom assessment and evaluation requires an understanding of the role of evaluation in planning and delivering instruction. It calls for the collection and interpretation of a wide range of information, familiarity with a variety of different methods of assessment and for competence in using these methods creatively, careful and systematic record keeping and judgment. Also, an effective classroom assessment and evaluation calls on teachers to become agents of change in their classrooms actively using the results of assessment to modify and improve the learning environments they create.

One of the main challenges that language teachers face is making students aware that a language is not a piece of knowledge or a set of skills whose mastery depends primarily on the teacher, the textbook, the method or any other external factor. This applies even more so when it comes to assessing the gains made from being in contact with a learning activity. First, we had hypothesized that if we include our students in the reviewing of their oral performances, we could start in them a process of reflexivity and becoming aware of the advantages that exist in observing how one speaks. Second, thinking about what strategies they used or could have used becomes a crucial thinking routine in students' coping with challenging communicative situations that they might face.

Teachers gain valuable insight and feedback that can be used to make adjustments, continue practices or change ones that don't work. This dynamic process may also yield information that produces the setting of new learning goals, re-stating weak ones, and eliminating impractical or meaningless ones.

It can be said that informal assessment takes place during the whole instructional process because it is a quick way of checking not only whether students are paying attention, but also whether you are being effective with the way you have designed the lessons. Looked at from this perspective,

and in consonance with Brown's definition. informal assessment is concerned more with giving feedback rather than making a decision. One safer and fairer route that can be taken by teachers is to combine these beforehand organized assessments with ones that are less formal and involve the aid of the students themselves. In this way, our promoting or failing a student will be based on a more fair and reliable decision.

In our experience as instructors, generating and receiving feedback is an academic practice that helps learners to improve the quality of a product. To reach its maximum benefits, it is required that the readers assume the role of motivating and guide leaders whom accompany permanently to learners in their process of dialogue construction. Also, it is necessary lecturers promote in learners the capabilities to assume an "open mind" or "receptive" position before their texts observations and viewers' critics

Conclusions

It is concluded that the combination of Literature Circles and Google Apps has the flexibility required to support learners to improve their language skills in their foreign language acquisition process. Receiving feedback either from self or more knowledgeable others has been globally applied for a long time; and it is still an efficient technique that allows to improve the way people use the language. It is a practice that should be promoted and led by teachers, especially in the university setting as learners prepare to interact in a world that requires of collaborative work and a sincere act of self-evaluation and reflexivity.

The revision of oral production demands of challenges such as experience, style, effective communication, and knowledge about specific topics, etc. This work constitutes an initial step in sampling more complex processes of assessment for learning in a university context. In this case, the benefit has been twofold because apart from bringing the review

experience to the students, they benefited from having the chance to be assessed and to assess themselves while learning English. The main advantage that Google Docs in combination with Literature Circles has, lies on their allowing shareability and collaboration. Participants shared with the teachers so that they could engage in the assessment process to improve their use of English overall.

Acknowledgment: To the students that participated in this process Flora Zibas, Hugo Cano, Tito Soliz, Katherine Chavez, Stefy Solorzano, Ivan García, Erick Alarcón, Fernando Dender y Lilibeth Mero

References

Alderson, J. C. (2005). *Diagnosing foreign language proficiency the interface between learning and assessment.* London: Bloomsbury Publishing.

- Asterhan, C., & Hever, R. (2015). Learning from reading argumentative group discussions in Facebook—Rhetoric style matters. *Journal Computers in Human Behavior*, 53(1), 570-576. In: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.05.020
- Astika, G. (2014). Reflective teaching as alternative assessment in teacher education: A case study of pre-service teachers. *TEFLIN Journal: A Publication on the Teaching and Learning of English*, vol. 25(1), 16-32. In: doi:10.15639/teflinjournal.v25i1/16-32
- Aydin, Z., & Yildiz, S. (2014). Using wikis to promote collaborative EFL writing. *Language Learning & Technology, vol. 18*(1), 160–180. Retrieved from http://llt.msu.edu/issues/february2014/aydinyildiz. pdf
- Bachman, L. F., & Palmer, A. S. (2010). *Language assessment in practice* (1st ed.). Oxford: Oxford Univ. Press.
- Barootchi, N., & Keshavarz, M. (2002). Assessment of achievement through portfolios and teacher- made tests. *Educational Research*, 44(3), 279-288. In: doi:10.1080/00131880210135313
- Bain, K. (2007). Lo que hacen los mejores profesores universitarios. España: Editorial Universidad de Valencia.
- Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5(1), 7-74. In: doi:10.1080/0969595980050102
- Brown, H. D. (2004). Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices. New York: Pearson Education.
- Cabero, J., & Ruiz, J. (2018). Las tecnologías de la información y comunicación para la inclusión, reformulando la brecha digital. *IJERI: International journal of Educational Research and Innovation, vol* 9(1), 16-30. In: https://www.upo.es/revistas/index.php/ IJERI/article/view/2665/2222

- Cabero, J. (2015). Reflexiones educativas: sobre las tecnologías de la información y la comunicación, *Tecnología, Ciencia y Educa-ción CEF*, 1(1), (TIC), 19-27. In: http://tecnologia-ciencia-educacion.com/index.php/TCE/article/view/27/14
- Centro Virtual Cervantes. (2017). Lectura extensiva. In *Diccionario de términos clave de ELE*. Retrieved from https://cvc.cervantes.es/ ENSENANZA/biblioteca_ele/diccio_ele/diccionario/lecturaextensiva.htm
- Cevallos, J., Intriago, E., Villafuerte, J., Molina, G., & Ortega, L. (2017). Autonomy in learning English as foreign language: A case study of ecuadorian college students. *English Language Teaching*, 10(2), 100-113. In: doi: 10.5539/elt.v10n2p100
- Chung, R. (2008). Beyond assessment: Performance assessments in teacher education. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 35(1), 7-28. In: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ810640
- Collie, J., & Slater, S. (1987). *Literature in the language classroom: A resource book of ideas and active* (13. print. Ed.). UK: Cambridge Univ. Press.
- Dang, T. T. (2010). Learner autonomy in EFL studies in Vietnam: A discussion from a sociocultural perspective. *English Language Teaching*, *3*(2), 3-9. https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v3n2p3
- Davis, C. (1995). Extensive reading: An expensive extravagance? *ELT Journal*, 49(4), pp. 329-36. In: doi:10.1093/elt/49.4.329
- Dixon, E. (2011). Assessment, teaching, and learning. *The Gordon Commission on the Future of Assessment in Education*, 1(2), 1-8. In: http://www.gordoncommission.org/rsc/pdfs/vol_1_no_2_18654. pdf
- Dörnyei, Z., & Ushioda, E. (2013). Teaching and researching: Motivation. Routledge.
- Dyer, K. (2015). Formative assessment in arts education. *teach. learn. grow* [The education blog]. In: https://www.nwea.org/blog/2015/ formative-assessment-in-arts-education/
- Elley, W., & Mangubhai, F. (1983). The impact of reading on second language learning. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 19(1), 53-67. In: doi:10.2307/747337

Falout, J., Elwood, J., & Hood, M. (2009). Demotivation: Affective states and learning outcomes. *Journal System*, 37(3), 403-17. In: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2009.03.004

207

- Farfan, G., Villafuerte, J., Romero, A., & Intriago, E. (2017). Supported technologies for strengthening communication skills in foreign languages case study: short films produced by future English teachers. Píxel-Bit. *Revista de Medios y Educación. N° 51*, 183-195. In: http://dx.doi.org/10.12795/pixelbit.2017.i51.12
- Ferrés, J., & Piscitelli, A. (2012). La competencia mediática: Propuesta articulada de dimensiones e indicadores". *Comunicar, Revista Científica De Comunicación y Educación*, N° 38, 78-79. In: https://www.revistacomunicar.com/index.php?contenido=detalles&numero=38&articulo=38-2012-10
- Fox, J. (2008). Alternative assessment. In Hornberger, N. (Ed.), *Ency-clopedia of language and education* (pp. 2240-2250). Springer: United States. In: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-30424-3_170
- Gattullo, F. (2000). Formative assessment in ELT primary (elementary) classrooms: an Italian case study. *Language Testing*, 17(2), 278–288. In: http://journals.sagepub.com/ doi/10.1177/026553220001700210

Harmer, J. (2001). *How to teach English*. England. Pearson.

- Hames, I. (2012). Peer review in a rapidly evolving publishing landscape. In R. Campbell, E. Pentz, & I. Borthwick (Eds.), *Academic and professional publishing* (pp. 15-52). Oxford, UK: Chandos Publishing.
- Harris, M., & McCann, P. (1994). Assessment. Oxford: Heinemann Publishers.
- Herrera, S., Cabral, R., & Murry, K. (2013). Assessment accommodations for classroom teachers of culturally and linguistically diverse students (2nd. Ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Hume, K. (2008). Start where they are: Differentiating for success with the young adolescent. Canada: Allys & Bacon.
- Intriago, E., Villafuerte, J., Morales, M.A., Lema, A., & Echeverria, J. (2016). Google apps for virtual learning communities development:

strengthening English language skills in a university environment. AtoZ Nuevas Prácticas en información y conocimiento, 5(1), 21-32. In: http://dx.doi.org/10.5380/atoz.v5i1.45170

- Jabbarifar, T (2009). The importance of classroom assessment and evaluation in educational system. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference of Teaching and Learning (ICTL 2009) INTI University College, Malaysia. Pp.1-9. In:https://my.laureate.net/Faculty/ docs/Faculty%20Documents/INTI%20Conferences/Parallel%20 Sessions%204/4C/4C-03-P142%20(Iran).pdf
- Jones, A. (2015). How Twitter saved my literature class: a case study with discussion. In Wankel, C., M. Marovich, K. Miller, & J. Stanaityte (Eds.), *Teaching Arts and Science with the New Social Media* (pp.91–105). Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing.
- Krashen, S. (1981). Second language acquisition and second language *learning.* Los Angeles: Pergamon Press.
- Lamb, M. (2002). Explaining successful language learning in difficult circumstances. *Prospect Journal*, 17(2), 35-52. In: http://www.ameprc.mq.edu.au/docs/prospect_journal/volume_17_no_2/17_2_3_ Lamb.pdf
- Lewy, A. (1990). Formative and summative evaluation. In Walberg, H., & Haertel, G. (Eds.), *The International Encyclopedia of Educational Evaluation* (pp. 26-28). In: doi:10.4304/tpls.4.2.435-440
- Najeeb, S. (2013). Learner autonomy in language learning. *Procedia* -Social and Behavioral Sciences, vol. 70 (1), 1238 – 1242. In: https:// ac.els-cdn.com/S1877042813001845/1-s2.0-S1877042813001845main.pdf?_tid=d17bfe1c-a0b8-11e7-857b-00000aab0f6b&acdnat=1506210310_36a0b60dae186b53ea90124ec7857da1
- Nevin, R. (2009). Supporting 21st century learning through Google Apps. *Teacher Librarian*, 37(2), 35–38. In: https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ869558
- Nitko, A. (1995). Curriculum-based continuous assessment: A framework for concepts, procedures and policy. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 2(33), 321-337. In: doi:10.1080/0969595950020306

- Noels, K. A., Clement, R., & Pelletier, L. G. (1999). Perceptions of teachers' communicative style and students' intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. *Modern Language Journal*, 83(1), 23-34. https://doi. org/10.1111/0026-7902.00003
- Miller, W. (1995). In Center for Applied Research in Education (Ed.), *Alternative assessment techniques for reading & writing*. West Nyack, N.Y.: Center for Applied Research in Education.
- Obregon, M. (2006). Los círculos de literatura en la escuela. *Revista Lectura y Vida*, 28(1), 48-59. Recuperado de

http://www.lecturayvida.fahce.unlp.edu.ar/numeros/a28n1/28_01_ Obregon.pdf

- Oxford, R. L. (1989). Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know New York: Newbury House Publisher.
- Padilha, M. (2013). Das tecnologias digitais à educação: nova cultura e novas lógicas para a formação docente. *TIC educação* 2013: Pesquisa sobre o uso das tecnologias de informação e comunicação nas escolas brasileiras, 81–86. São Paulo: Comitê Gestor da Internet no Brasil. In: http://www.cetic.br/media/docs/publicacoes/2/ tic-educacao-2013.pdf
- Railean, E. (2012). Google apps for education: a powerful solution for global scientific classrooms with learner centered environment. *International Journal of Computer Science Research and Application*, 2(2), 19–27. In: http://www.ijcsra.org/current-issue/v2i2
- Rodrigues, D. (2010). Alternative assessment. Saber & Educar, 15(1), 1-7. In: doi:10.17346/se.vol15.97
- Rojas, M.A.; Villafuerte, J., & Soto, S. (2017). Collaborative work and technological means for improving learners' English language writing production. *The turkish online journal of educational technology, Tojet. (Especial of November)*, 93-105. In: http://www.tojet. net/special/2017_12_1.pdf
- Sánchez, J., & Contreras, P. (2012). De cara al prosumidor: producción y consumo empoderando a la ciudadanía 3.0. Icono 14, 10(3), 62-84. In: https://doi.org/10.7195/ri14.v10i3.210
- Sanprasert, N. (2010). The application of a course management system to enhance autonomy in learning English as a foreign lan-

guage. *System*, 38(1), pp. 109-123. In: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2009.12.010

- Shelton-Strong, S. (2012). Literature circles in ELT. *ELT Journal*, 66(2), 214-223. In: doi:10.1093/elt/ccr049
- Stone, B. (1998). Problems, pitfalls, and benefits of portfolios. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 25(1), 105-14. In: http://www.jstor.org/sta-ble/23478111
- Thomas, P. Y. (2011). Cloud computing: A potential paradigm for practicing the scholarship of teaching and learning. *The Electronic Library*, 29(2), 214–224. In: doi: 10.1108/02640471111125177
- Villafuerte, J., Carreno, M., & Demera, J. (2015). Quality and innovations to improve the foreign language teaching using Facebook. *Revista Científica Interdisciplinaria Investigación y Saberes,* 4(3), 53-60. In: http://utelvt.edu.ec/ojs/index.php/is/article/download/110/83.
- Wilson, R. (1999). Aspects of validity in large- scale programs of student assessment. *Alberta Journal of Educational Research*, 45(4), 333-343. In: http://ajer.journalhosting.ucalgary.ca/index.php/ajer/ article/viewFile/105/9
- Withford, B., Ruscoe, G., & Fickel, L. (2000). Knitting it all together: Collaborative teachers' education in southern Maine. In L. Darling-Hammond (Ed.), *Studies of excellence in teacher education: Preparation at the graduate level,* (pp. 172-257). New York: National Commission on Teaching and America's Future & Washington.
- Zhuang, J. (2010). The changing role of teachers in the development of learner autonomy— Based on a survey of "English dorm activity". *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 1(5), 591-595. In: https://doi.org/10.4304/jltr.1.5.591-595

Beyond Paper-and-Pencil Tests: Good Assessment Practices for EFL classes Edición digital 2017-2018. www.utmachala.edu.ec

Redes

Redes es la materialización del diálogo académico y propositivo entre investigadores de la UTMACH y de otras universidades iberoamericanas, que busca ofrecer respuestas glocalizadas a los requerimientos sociales y científicos. Los diversos textos de esta colección, tienen un espíritu crítico, constructivo y colaborativo. Ellos plasman alternativas novedosas para resignificar la pertinencia de nuestra investigación. Desde las ciencias experimentales hasta las artes y humanidades, Redes sintetiza policromías conceptuales que nos recuerdan, de forma empeñosa, la complejidad de los objetos construidos y la creatividad de sus autores para tratar temas de acalorada actualidad y de demanda creciente; por ello, cada interrogante y respuesta que se encierra en estas líneas, forman una trama que, sin lugar a dudas, inervará su sistema cognitivo, convirtiéndolo en un nodo de esta urdimbre de saberes.



UNIVERSIDAD TÉCNICA DE MACHALA Editorial UTMACH Km. 5 1/2 Vía Machala Pasaje www.investigacion.utmachala.edu.ec / www.utmachala.edu.ec

